## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:

Applicant : Robert W. Warren, Jr.

Appln. No. : 10/767,505

Filed : January 28, 2004

Title : METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR

GENERIC DATA TRANSFER

**INTERFACE** 

Confirmation No: 5517

Group Art Unit: 2181

Examiner: Martinez, David E.

Docket No. STL11661/390-009-USP

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Dear Sir:

Attorneys for Applicant hereby submit this Response to the Restriction Requirement dated February 15, 2007. This Response is believed to be fully responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated February 15, 2007. Claims 1-25 are pending in the application. The Examiner required restriction of the claims to Invention I (claims 1-6 and 23) drawn to a host interface, or Invention II (claims 7-22, 24, and 25) drawn to a media controller and a data storage device. Applicant hereby elects Invention II, including claims 7-22, 24, and 25, with traverse.

In the Election/Restriction requirement, the Office alleges that Invention I and Invention II are related as combination and subcombination. Specifically, the Office contends that "In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims are not directed to a host interface using a virtual channel controller used to establish a connection." Applicant, however, respectfully disagrees.

Claim 1, which the Office has included in Invention I (the subcombination), is directed to a host interface using a virtual channel controller used to establish a connection. Claim 15,

which the Office has included in Invention II (the combination), is directed to a data storage

device and recites, inter alia, "a host interface" and a "media controller" that includes "a virtual

channel controller... that establishes a connection for address-less transfer between the indicated

virtual channel of the host interface and a corresponding virtual channel of the media controller."

Thus, the combination is directed to a data storage device that includes a host interface that uses

a virtual channel controller to establish a connection. Applicant asserts that the Office's position

that "the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed because the claims are not directed to a host interface using a virtual channel controller

to establish a connection" is incorrect. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits Invention I

and Invention II are not distinct and that this restriction requirement is improper.

Applicant believes that this Response is fully responsive to the Restriction Requirement

dated February 15, 2007, and that no fees or petitions are due with this filing. However, should

any such fees or petitions be required, please consider this a request therefore and authorization

to charge Deposit Account No. 50-3199 as necessary.

If the Examiner should require any additional information or believes that prosecution of

the application may be expedited via a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to contact

the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 15 March 2007

/Richard J. Holzer, Jr./

Richard J. Holzer, Jr. Reg. No. 42,668

Attorney for Applicant

USPTO Customer No. 64776

HENSLEY KIM & EDGINGTON, LLC

1660 Lincoln Street, Suite 3050

Denver, Colorado 80264

Tel: 720-377-0770

Fax: 720-377-0777